UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

DARREN CLARENCE SCHROEDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 3:17-cv-01044-JPG-SCW

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

J. PHIL GILBERT, DISTRICT JUDGE

This matter comes before the Court on defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC's motion for judgment on the pleadings. (Doc. 44.) The plaintiff never responded to the motion. For the following reasons, the Court **GRANTS** Equifax's motion.

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Darren Schroeder is an inmate at Centralia Correctional Center. He alleges that he a letter directly to Equifax in which he requested a free copy of his credit report pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act. (*Id.* at 11.) Schroeder argues that since Equifax refused to send him a free copy in response, he is entitled to damages. This case is but one in a bundle of cases that Illinois state prisoners have recently filed, all with curious similarities between them.

II. LEGAL STANDARDS

A. Judgment on the Pleadings

A motion for judgment on the pleadings pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c) is governed by the same standards as a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim: the pleadings must plausibly suggest that the plaintiff has a right to relief above a speculative level. *Adams v. City of Indianapolis*, 742 F.3d 720, 727–28 (7th Cir. 2014) (citing

Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007); Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009)). In ruling on a motion for judgment on the pleadings, the Court considers the complaint, answer, and any written instruments attached to those pleadings; accepts all well-pleaded allegations in the complaint as true; and draws all inferences in favor of the plaintiff. See Pisciotta v. Old Nat'l Bancorp, 499 F.3d 629, 633 (7th Cir. 2007); Forseth v. Village of Sussex, 199 F.3d 363, 368 (7th Cir. 2000).

B. The Fair Credit Reporting Act

The Fair Credit Reporting Act commands that once per year, if a consumer requests a free copy of their credit report, all consumer reporting agencies—such as TransUnion—must provide the free copy to the consumer. 15 U.S.C. § 1681j(a)(1)(A). The consumer must, however, request the copy from the "central source established for such purpose". 15 U.S.C. § 1681j(a)(1)(B). The upshot of the statute's design is that consumers can obtain their free credit reports from *all* of the agencies by making only a single request through the central source, rather than having to contact each agency individually. 12 C.F.R. § 1022.136(a). If a consumer reporting agency fails to comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the statute allows plaintiffs to recover damages from the agency on theories of both willful noncompliance and negligent noncompliance. 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A); 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1)(2).

III. ANALYSIS

First of all, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c), Schroeder's failure to respond to Equifax's motion for judgment on the pleadings qualifies as an admission of the merits of Equifax's arguments. But regardless, Equifax has not violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act. This is because Schroeder did not request his credit report from the central source, as § 1681j(a)(1)(B) requires. Rather, Schroeder sent his request directly to Equifax, so Equifax's refusal to disclose Little's credit report was the proper application of the statute. (*Id.* at 11.) Conrad's complaint

fails to plead any violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act—whether his theory is one of willful

noncompliance, negligent compliance, or otherwise. Moreover, it would be futile for the Court to

allow Conrad to amend his complaint because he has already demonstrated through his attached

exhibits that he is not entitled to relief.

This case mirrors a case in the Northern District of Illinois, where the Court summed up

the issue by stating: "while [the consumer credit agency] might have made greater effort to

accommodate Plaintiff's special circumstances [as a prisoner], the facts do not reflect a violation

of any provision of the Fair Credit Reporting Act." Garland v. Equifax, et al., No. 3:15-cv-

50305, ECF No. 104 at 3 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 27, 2017). If prisoners wish to obtain free copies of their

credit reports pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, they are—at this time, at least—bound

by the terms of the statute.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS Equifax's motion for judgment on the

pleadings. (Doc. 44.) The Court **DISMISSES** this case with prejudice and **DIRECTS** the Clerk

of Court to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: AUGUST 13, 2018

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

DISTRICT JUDGE

3